The Commonwealth of Massachusetts STATE ELECTION TO

Penalty for willfully defacing, tearing down, removing or destroying a List of Candidates or Specimen Ballot - fine not exceeding One Hundred Dollars.

SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUNETTS

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

OFFICIAL SPECIMEN BALLOT

Tuesday, November 6, 2018

TOWNSEND

831/831

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval • to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write the person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

SENATOR IN CONGRESS	REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS		QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE
Vote for ONE ELIZABETH A. WARREN Democratic	THIRD DISTRICT Vote for ONE RICK GREEN		PETITION Do you approve of a law summarized below, on
24 Linnaean St., Cambridge Candidate for Re-election GEOFF DIEHL +++++++++++++++++ Republican 10 Village Way, Whitman	22 Village Rd., Pepperell LORI LOUREIRO TRAHAN ++++++ Democratic 9 Weetamoo Way, Westford	0	which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 2, 2018? SUMMARY
SHIVA AYYADURAI +++++++++ Independent 69 Snake Hill Rd., Belmont	O Concord St., Maynard	0	This proposed law would limit how many patients could be assigned to each registered nurse in Massachusetts hospitals and certain other health
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.		care facilities. The maximum number of patients per registered nurse would vary by type of unit and level
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	0	of care, as follows: In units with step-down/intermediate care patients: 3 patients per nurse; In units with post-anesthesia care or operating
GOVERNOR And Lieutenant Governor	COUNCILLOR		room patients: 1 patient under anesthesia per nurse; 2 patients post-anesthesia per nurse; • In the emergency services department: 1 critical or intensive care patient per nurse (or 2 if
Vote for ONE BAKER and POLITO Republican	SEVENTH DISTRICT Vote for ONE JENNIE L. CAISSIE +++++++++ Republican 53 Fort Hill Rd., Oxford Candidate for Re-election	0	the nurse has assessed each patient's condition as stable); 2 urgent non-stable patients per nurse; 3 urgent stable patients per nurse; or 5 non-urgent stable
GONZALEZ and PALFREY++++++ Democratic	PAUL M. DePALO ++++++++++ Democratic 7 Underwood St., Worcester	0	patients per nurse; In units with maternity patients: (a) active
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. Use blank line below for write-in.	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.		labor patients: 1 patient per nurse; (b) during birth and for up to two hours immediately postpartum: 1 mother per nurse and 1 baby per nurse; (c) when the partition of the per nurse is the partition of the per nurse in the per nurse is the per nurse in the per nurse in the per nurse is the per nurse in the per
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	0	condition of the mother and baby are determined to be stable: 1 mother and her baby or babies per nurse; (d) postpartum: 6 patients per nurse; (e) intermediate care or continuing care babies: 2 babies per nurse; (f)
ATTORNEY GENERAL Vote for ONE	SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT WORKESTER & MIDDLESEX DISTRICT Vote for ONE		well-babies: 6 babies per nurse; In units with pediatric, medical, surgical, telemetry, or observational/outpatient treatment
MAURA HEALEY+++++++++++ Democratic 10 Winthrop St., Boston Candidate for Re-election	44 Tibbett Cir., Fitchburg Candidate for Re-election		patients, or any other unit: 4 patients per nurse; and In units with psychiatric or rehabilitation
JAMES R. McMAHON, III ++++++ Republican 4 Canal View Rd., Bourne DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	SUSAN A. CHALIFOUX-ZEPHIR + Democratic 437 West St., Leominster DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	0	patients: 5 patients per nurse. The proposed law would require a covered facility to comply with the patient assignment limits without
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	0	reducing its level of nursing, service, maintenance, clerical, professional, and other staff. The proposed law would also require every
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY		covered facility to develop a written patient acuity tool for each unit to evaluate the condition of each patient. This tool would be used by nurses in deciding whether patient
SECRETARY OF STATE Vote for ONE	REPRESENTATIVE IN		limits should be lower than the limits of the proposed law at any given time. The proposed law would not override any
WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN+++++ Democratic 6 Lake St., Boston Candidate for Re-election ANTHONY M. AMORE+++++++++ Republican	GENERAL COURT FIRST MIDDLESEX DISTRICT SHEILA C. HARRINGTON ++++++ Republican		contract in effect on January 1, 2019 that set higher patient limits. The proposed law's limits would take effect after any such contract expired.
82 Norfolk Ave., Swampscott IUAN G. SANCHEZ, JR. ++++++ Green-Rainbow	21 Overlook Dr., Groton Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	0	The state Health Policy Commission would be required to promulgate regulations to implement the proposed law. The Commission could conduct
62 High St., Holyoke DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	0	inspections to ensure compliance with the law. Any facility receiving written notice from the Commission of a complaint or a violation would be required to
			submit a written compliance plan to the Commission. The Commission could report violations to the state Attorney General, who could file suit to obtain a civil
TREASURER	DISTRICT ATTORNEY NORTHERN DISTRICT Vote for ONE MARIAN T. RYAN Democratic		penalty of up to \$25,000 per violation as well as up to \$25,000 for each day a violation continued after
Vote for ONE DEBORAH B. GOLDBERG Democratic	8 Bradford Rd., Belmont Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	0	the Commission notified the covered facility of the violation. The Health Policy Commission would be required to establish a toll-free telephone number
7 Hystop Rd., Brookline Candidate for Re-election CEIKO M. ORRALL ++++++ Republican 20 Crooked Ln., Lakeville	USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	0	for complaints and a website where complaints, compliance plans, and violations would appear. The proposed law would prohibit discipline
AMIE M CHEDIN	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY		or retaliation against any employee for complying with the patient assignment limits of the law. The proposed law would require every covered facility to
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	CLERK OF COURTS MIDDLESEX COUNTY Vote for ONE		post within each unit, patient room, and waiting area a notice explaining the patient limits and how to report violations. Each day of a facility's non-compliance
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	MICHAEL A. SULLIVAN+++++++++ Democratic 42 Huron Ave., Cambridge Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.		with the posting requirement would be punishable by a civil penalty between \$250 and \$2,500.
UDITOR	USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.		The proposed law's requirements would be suspended during a state or nationally declared public health emergency.
Vote for ONE UZANNE M. BUMP ++++++++ Democratic	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY		The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect. The proposed law would take effect on January
Hoe Shop St., Easton Candidate for Re-election	REGISTER OF DEEDS		A YES VOTE would limit the number of patients that could be assigned to one registered nurse in hospitals
ELEN BRADY ++++++++++ Republican	MIDDLESEX SOUTHERN DISTRICT Vote for ONE		TOTAL PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF
ELEN BRADY ++++++++++ Republican (MADIA C. CURTATONE	0	and certain other health care facilities. A NO VOTE would make no change in current laws
ELEN BRADY + + + + + + + Republican (30 Monument St., Concord ANIEL FISHMAN + + + + Libertarian (Collgate Rd, Swertly	MARIA C. CURTATONE	0	and certain other health care facilities.

LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 2, 2018? **SUMMARY**

This proposed law would create a citizens commission to consider and recommend potential amendments to the United States Constitution to establish that corporations do not have the same Constitutional rights as human beings and that campaign contributions and expenditures may be regulated.

Any resident of Massachusetts who is a United States citizen would be able to apply for appointment to the 15-member commission, and members would serve without compensation. The Governor, the Secretary of the Commonwealth, the state Attorney General, the Speaker of the state House of Representatives, and the President of the state Senate would each appoint three members of the commission and, in making these appointments, would seek to ensure that the commission reflects a range of geographic, political, and demographic backgrounds.

The commission would be required to research and take testimony, and then issue a report regarding (1) the impact of political spending in Massachusetts; (2) any limitations on the state's ability to regulate corporations and other entities in light of Supreme Court decisions that allow corporations to assert certain constitutional rights; (3) recommendations for constitutional amendments; (4) an analysis of constitutional amendments introduced to Congress; and (5) recommendations for advancing proposed amendments to the United States Constitution.

The commission would be subject to the state Open Meeting Law and Public Records Law. The commission's first report would be due December 31, 2019, and the Secretary of the Commonwealth would be required to deliver the commission's report to the state Legislature, the United States Congress, and the President of the United States.

The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2019.

A YES VOTE would create a citizens commission to advance an amendment to the United States Constitution to limit the influence of money in elections and establish that corporations do not have the same rights as human beings.

A NO VOTE would not create this commission.

YES \circ

NO (

QUESTION 3 REFERENDUM ON AN EXISTING LAW

Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was approved by the House of Representatives and the Senate on July 7, 2016? **SUMMARY**

This law adds gender identity to the list of prohibited grounds for discrimination in places of public accommodation, resort, or amusement. Such grounds also include race, color, religious creed, national origin, sex, disability, and ancestry. A "place of public accommodation, resort or amusement" is defined in existing law as any place that is open to and accepts or solicits the patronage of the general public, such as hotels, stores, restaurants, theaters, sports facilities, and hospitals. "Gender identity" is defined as a person's sincerely held gender-related identity, appearance, or behavior, whether or not it is different from that traditionally associated with the person's physiology or assigned sex at birth.

This law prohibits discrimination based on gender identity in a person's admission to or treatment in any place of public accommodation. The law requires any such place that has separate areas for males and females (such as restrooms) to allow access to and full use of those areas consistent with a person's gender identity. The law also prohibits the owner or manager of a place of public accommodation from using advertising or signage that discriminates on the basis of gender identity.

This law directs the state Commission Against Discrimination to adopt rules or policies and make recommendations to carry out this law. The law also directs the state Attorney General to issue regulations or guidance on referring for legal action any person who asserts gender identity for an improper purpose.

The provisions of this law governing access to places of public accommodation are effective as of October 1, 2016. The remaining provisions are effective as of July 8, 2016.

A YES VOTE would keep in place the current law, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender identity in places of public accommodation.

A NO VOTE would repeal this provision of the public accommodation law.

YES O

NO C